

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Ex Parte

14 Documents

Docket #99-200

Written Presentations
To

Chairman &

Commissioners

From: Renee Gonzalez
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/25/03 12:38AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Powell:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,


Renee Gonzalez
1339 N. Vineyard ave.
Ontario, CA 91764

99-200

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary



99-200

From: Kurt Schwartzkopf
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 6:47 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Kurt Schwartzkopf
2309 Marshallfield Lane #8
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

From: madaline white
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 6:58PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

madaline white
29833 camino del sol
temecula. CA 92592

From: DAVID FRANK
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:00PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

DAVID FRANK
1422 N FAIRFAX AVE #1
WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA 90046

From: Meehna Goldsmith
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:01PM
Subject: Area Code 9091310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. This change would severely impact my professional life and cost several thousand dollars in terms of time and actual expense to implement such a change.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take-away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Meehna Goldsmith
636 Acanto Street #103
Los Angeles, CA 90049

From: Mqary Trujillo
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:01PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Mqary Trujillo
8557 Woodford way
Riverside, CA 92504

From: Mary Bouma
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:02 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Thank you for your help,
Mary Bouma

Sincerely,

Mary Bouma
7379 Magnolia Ave #22
Riverside, CA 92504

From: Wen-Luing Chen
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:03PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Wen-Luing Chen
2573 Diamond Drive
Chino Hills, CA 91709

From: Meredith Milton
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:04PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Meredith Milton
11958 Mayfield Avenue #8
Los Angeles, CA 90049

From: John Diorio
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:05PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my great anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California. Doesn't the CPUC have anything else to do except mess with our private lives!!!!!!

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [if you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

John Diorio
10830 Nuevo Drive
Fontana, CA 92337-7547

From: Naomi Barbre
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:05 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Naomi Barbre
20010 Kayne St.
Corona, CA 92881

From: Susanne Trimbath
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:07 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my concern about the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 area code in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away mobile phone numbers in Southern California and give them to new landline telephone customers. If they need more numbers, then let the new phones get new area codes. Don't force millions of people to change what they already have.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to take action to stop this stupidity from happening in my area like it has in **so** many others.

Sincerely,

Susanne Trimbath
1250 4th St
Santa Monica, CA 90401

From: Sara Zaragoza
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:10 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Sara Zaragoza
14527 S Corlett Ave
Compton, CA 90220

From: Pamela Kirby
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:11PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. Approx. cost out of my pocket if Number is changed would be \$1500.00

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Pamela Kirby
37803 Cresta Del Rey
Murrieta, CA 92563

From: Dave Barlet
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:14 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Dave Barlet
2855 Ronald St
Riverside, CA 92506

From: Rosaleen Ostrick
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:15 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my concern concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Rosaleen Ostrick
10770 Queensland St.
Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: Rebecca Killion
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:16 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which *is* not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Killion
5019 Foothill Ave
Riverside, CA 92503

From: Paul Smith
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:17 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Paul Smith
PO Box 6383
Crestline, CA 92325

From: Donald Cayer
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:21PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Donald Cayer
3396 Grampion Road
Riverside, CA 92507

From: Robin Ridgway
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:23 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

I feel making a new area code for these new numbers would be the best solution. I know you must have known this would be a problem some day. If you were going to give new area codes you should have done it in the planning stages. Someone miscalculated. I am not happy with your solution.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Robin Ridgway
35826 Glissant Dr.
Winchester, CA 92596

From: Lito Borbon
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:26 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Lito Borbon
2110 Artesia Boulevard, B260
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

From: sami charmiti
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/3/03 7:27 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

sami charmiti
3676 vinton ave
los angeles, CA 90034-6073

From: Rick Bartko
To: Michael Copps
Date: 1/3/03 7:27PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Michael Copps:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my frustration concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away the mobile phone numbers of millions of subscribers in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. Millions of mobile phone users will be forced to notify friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at their own expense. Our business will need to update the records of 7 employees and their contacts to accommodate this reallocation of phone numbers.

Ours is only one business of many that will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. Many will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local and wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow existing mobile line users and every other existing customer to keep current numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch; I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Rick Bartko
4300 Latham Street
Suite 200
Riverside, CA 92501

From: Marla Clapp
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. We will all be forced to notify friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- and all at our own expense.

The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing wireless customer to keep our numbers. Subsequently, wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same, as is the current status.

As they say, "first come, first serve." Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch. I strongly urge the consideration of an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Marla Clapp
1526 W. 8th Street #W75
Upland, CA 91786

From: Delores Jessee
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Delores Jessee
8424 Franklin Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90069